

SGSL-04-16-A (1230-1235)

1230

SPECIAL COURT FOR SIERRA LEONE

OFFICE OF THE PROSECUTOR
Freetown – Sierra Leone

IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER

Before:

Hon. Justice George Gelaga King, President

Hon. Justice Emmanuel Ayoola

Hon. Justice Renate Winter

Hon. Justice Geoffrey Robertson, QC

Hon. Justice A. Raja N. Fernando

Registrar:

Mr. Herman Von Hebel

Date filed:

21 September 2007

COURT MAIN

2 1 SEP 2007

NAME HOMAS GORG

SIGN TOWNS

THE PROSECUTOR

Against

Alex Tamba Brima Brima Bazzy Kamara Santigie Borbor Kanu

Case No. SCSL-04-16-A

PUBLIC

COPIES OF ADDITIONAL AUTHORITIES FOR PROSECUTION APPEAL BRIEF

Office of the Prosecutor:

Dr. Christopher Staker

Mr. Karim Agha

Mr. Chile Eboe-Osuji

Ms. Anne Althaus

Defence Counsel for Alex Tamba Brima

Mr. Kojo Graham

Defence Counsel for Brima Bazzy Kamara

Mr. Andrew Daniels

Defence Counsel for Santigie Borbor Kanu

Mr. Agibola E. Manley-Spain

- The Prosecution files the attached copies of two authorities referred to in the 1. Prosecution Appeal Brief, copies of which were not filed with the Prosecution Appeal Brief.
- The Prosecution requests the Appeals Chamber to accept the filing of the copies 2. of these two authorities out of time. The Prosecution was unable to include these authorities at the time that its Appeal Brief was filed. Given the brevity of these two authorities, and the short time since the Appeal Brief was filed, it is submitted that there has been no prejudice to the Defence.

Filed in Freetown,

21 September 2007

Christopher Staker

Deputy Prosecutor

Senior Appeals Counsel

Page 1 of 1

1990 WL 753253 (CA (Crim Div)), [1990] Crim. L.R. 524
(c) Sweet & Maxwell Limited
R. v Radcliffe
(CA (Crim Div)) Court of Appeal (Criminal Division)
2 February 1990

Summary
Case Comments

Summary

Subject: Criminal procedure

Keywords: Child abuse; Indecent assault; Jury directions

Catchphrases: Direction to jury; indecency; gross indecency with a child under 14; whether dates on indictment material

Summary: Held, that it must be made perfectly plain to the jury on charges of gross indecency with a child under the age of 14 that that child must have been under that age when the indecency took place in order for them to convict the accused. A direction that "the dates which are set out in the indictment...are immaterial. The prosecution does not have to prove that any particular act happened between those dates. What you have to prove is that it happened... " was therefore a misdirection.

Case Comments

Children; Indictments; Jury directions; Sexual offences. Gross indecency with child under 14. Crim. L.R. 1990, Jul, 524
END OF DOCUMENT

(C) 2007 Thomson/West. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

THOMSON

Guzman

ko

Otto Triffterer (ed.)

Commentary on the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court

Observers' Notes, Article by Article

e editor.

3044-140,



Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft Baden-Baden

1234

Citation: e.g., M. Boot, in: O. Triffterer (ed.), Commentary on the Rome Statute (1999), article 7, margin Nos. 41-44

Die Deutsche Bibliothek - CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

Commentary on the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: Observers' Notes, Article by Article / Otto Triffterer (ed.). – 1. Aufl. – Baden-Baden: Nomos Verl.-Ges., 1999
ISBN 3-7890-6173-5

Auflage 1999
 Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden 1999. Printed in Germany. Alle Rechte, auch die des Nachdrucks von Auszügen, der photomechanischen Wiedergabe und der Übersetzung, vorbehalten.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to »Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort«, Munich.

(b) of the mouth of the victim by the penis of the perpetrator;

(ii) by coercion or force or threat of force against the victim or a third person. "107

Depending on the circumstances, under international criminal law rape may acquire the status of a crime distinct from torture 108.

β) "sexual slavery"

In paragraph 1 (g), sexual slavery is listed as a separate offence, but it should be 47 considered as a particular form of enslavement which includes various forms of slavery109. The word "sexual" in the current paragraph denotes the result of this particular crime of enslavement: limitations on one's autonomy, freedom of movement and power to decide matters relating to one's sexual activity110.

Sexual slavery thus also encompasses situations where women and girls are forced into "marriage", domestic servitude or other forced labour that ultimately involves forced sexual activity, including rape by their captors111. According to the Special Rapporteur of the Working Group on Contemporary Forms of Slavery "[p]ractices such as the detention of women in 'rape camps'112 or 'comfort stations', forced, temporary "marriages" to soldiers and other practices involving the treatment of women as chattel, are both in fact and in law forms of slavery and, as such, violations of the peremptory norm prohibiting slavery"113.

y) "enforced prostitution"

It has been argued that sexual slavery also encompasses most, if not all forms of "forced prostitution", which generally refers to conditions of control over a person who

¹⁰⁷ Prosecutor v. Furundzija, supra note 98, para. 185. The Trial Chamber noted that no elements other then these may be drawn from international treaty or customary law, nor is resort to general principles of international criminal law or to general principles of international criminal law or to general principles of criminal law of any avail. It therefore considered it necessary to look for principles of criminal law common to the major legal systems of the world. "These principles may be derived, with all due caution, from national laws", ibid, paras. 175 and 177. According to the Trial Chamber, any form of captivity negates consent, ibid, paras. 271 ibid., para. 271.

¹⁰⁸ Ibid., para. 164.

[&]quot;Enslavement" in paragraph 1 (c) means "the exercise of any or all of the powers attaching to the right of ownership over a person ..." (paragraph 2 (c)), and "slavery" means the "status or condition of a person over whom any or all of the powers attaching to the right of ownership are exercised" (article 1 para. 1 of the 1926 Slavery Convention). The definition of "enslavement" thus contains the actus reus of the offense; the word "slavery" denotes the condition or the status of the victim and should be interpreted as being a consequence or result from acts of enslavement. But see commentary on paragraph 1 (c) "enslavement", supra. In her report, the Special Rapporteur used the term "sexual" as an adjective to describe a form of slavery, not to denote a separate offence, 1998 Slavery Rapporteur Report, supra note 101, para. 30.

M.Ch. Bassinum, Enslavement as an International Crime, 23 N.V.I.I. Intri 1, 8, Dot. 458 (1901).

¹¹⁰ M.Ch. Bassiouni, Enslavement as an International Crime, 23 N.Y.U. J. INT'L L. & Pol. 458 (1991).

^{111 1998} Slavery Rapporteur Report, supra note 101, para. 30. See also Report of the Special Rapporteur on Violence against Women, its Causes and Consequences, Ms. Radhika Coomaraswamy, U.N. Doc. E/CN.4/1998/54, para. 42.

¹¹² Prosecutor v. Gagovic ("Foca"), supra note 98, paras. 1.5 and 4.8.

^{113 1998} Slavery Rapporteur Report, supra note 101, para. 8. See also article 1 of the Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery (1956), 266 U.N.T.S. 3.